

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 34074

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2008 Unpublished Opinion No. 610
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: August 21, 2008
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
RONALD WILLIAM NGABIRANO,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Michael R. McLaughlin, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and concurrent determinate life sentences for three counts of lewd conduct with a minor under sixteen, and consecutive ten-year determinate sentence for possession of sexually exploitative material, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Jason C. Pintler, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

PER CURIAM

Ronald William Ngabirano was convicted of three counts of lewd conduct with a minor under sixteen, Idaho Code § 18-1508, and one count of possession of sexually exploitative material, I.C. §§ 18-1507, -1507A. Eight additional counts of lewd conduct and a persistent violator enhancement allegation were dismissed. The district court imposed concurrent determinate life sentences on each count of lewd conduct and a consecutive ten-year determinate sentence for possession of sexually exploitative material. Ngabirano appeals, contending that the sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Ngabirano's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.